

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,751	.	10/29/2003	John Frederick Porter	11277-0039	7560	
8933	7590	10/31/2006		EXAMINER		
DUANE M	ORRIS,	LLP		MAKI, STEVEN D		
IP DEPARTMENT 30 SOUTH: 17TH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			•	1733	1733	
			·	DATE MAILED: 10/31/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/696,751	PORTER, JOHN	FREDERICK	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		• .
Andrew Control of the	Steven D. Maki	1733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	ugust 2006.			
•	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	•	
Disposition of Claims	•			
		• .		•
4) Claim(s) <u>17-36</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			*	• :
8) Claim(s) <u>17-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		٠	
Application Papers	r v			ì
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·			•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				٠.
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a)-(d) or (f)	•	•
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority ariasi so s.c.s. 3 1 10(a	, (0) 5: (:):	,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•		l Stage	ŧ
application from the International Bureau	•			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.		
			,	
	•			
Attachment(s)		٠		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	,	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		•	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•		

Art Unit: 1733

- 1) New claim 17 is a generic claim directed to a method of making a reinforced smooth cementitious board having the newly presented step of "penetrating the thin, porous nonwoven web by said portion of the layer of hydraulic cementitious material, to embed the reinforcement fabric in the layer of hydraulic cementitious material at a depth from the outer face with continuity of the layer of hydraulic cementitious material about the reinforcement fabric, and to form the cement skin adjacent to the outer face with said portion of the layer of hydraulic cementitious material".
- 2) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species #1 - uniting fibers of open mesh at intersections using adhesive,

Species #2 - uniting fibers of open mesh at intersections by fusing using heat,

ind

Species A - covering fibers for open mesh by coextruding,

Species B - covering fibers for open mesh with fibrous covering by wrapping,

and

Species X - using spunbonded web for nonwoven web,

Species Y - using carded web for nonwoven web.

The species are independent or distinct because (1) species # 1 requires using adhesive whereas species #2 requires fusing instead of using separate adhesive; (2) species A requires step of coextruding whereas species B requires wrapping instead of coextruding; and (3) species X requires using spunbonded web whereas species Y requires using carded web instead of a spunbonded web.

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. In particular, applicant is required to elect one of species #1 and species #2 and one of species A and species B and one of species X and species Y. Currently, claims 17, 18, 22-27, 30, 31 and 34 are generic. It is noted that claim 28 is not generic to species Y. With respect to new claim 17 which requires "the thin, porous nonwoven web comprises alkali resistant polymer fibers having thereon a hydrophilic material to enhance wetting... and adhesion", support for the combination of the subject matter of new claim 17 and new claim 28 (which reads on coating hydrophilic compound on the nonwoven web having polymer fibers having hydrophilic material), is provided by the combination of (1) page 13 last ten lines, page 14 lines 1-8 and (2) page 14 last nine lines in the original disclosure. It is noted that page 14 last s nine lines describes using hydrophilic binder to join loose fibers of a carded web. It is also noted that applicant states: "... claims 24 and 25 recite a spunbonded web that does not require a binder..." (page 17 of response filed 8-1-06).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Art Unit: 1733

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

3) Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) <u>identification of the claims</u> encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1733

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven D. Maki October 29, 2006

STEVEN D. MAKI